



Correspondence

Julian Ungar-Sargon, MD, PhD
Borra College Health Sciences, Dominican University

- Received Date: 21 Oct 2025
- Accepted Date: 27 Oct 2025
- Publication Date: 30 Oct 2025

Copyright

© 2025 Authors. This is an open- access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Healthcare Reform and Physician Retention: Toward a Dialogical Reconstruction of Medical Practice

Julian Ungar-Sargon

Borra College Health Sciences, Dominican University

Abstract

The contemporary crisis in American healthcare—manifesting both as a projected shortage of 86,000 physicians by 2036 and as widespread physician burnout and early retirement—represents not merely a workforce planning challenge but a fundamental rupture in medicine's ontological foundations. This article develops a theoretical framework for understanding how bureaucratic structures, particularly the American Board of Medical Specialties' Maintenance of Certification program, function as symptoms of deeper epistemological failures within the biomedical paradigm. Drawing on phenomenological philosophy, theological hermeneutics, actor-network theory, and embodied cognitive science, I argue that sustainable healthcare reform requires moving beyond technical fixes toward a comprehensive reimagining of medical practice grounded in dialogical encounter, sacred epistemology, and recognition of healing's irreducibly relational character. The article integrates empirical workforce data with theoretical scholarship to demonstrate how bureaucratic monopolies and reductionist ontologies mutually reinforce physician alienation, and proposes an alternative vision wherein medical authority emerges through relationship rather than institutional hierarchy, and where physician retention becomes possible through reconnection with medicine's deeper vocational meanings.

Introduction

The looming physician shortage—projected by the Association of American Medical Colleges to reach between 13,500 and 86,000 physicians by 2036, with potentially 202,800 additional physicians needed to address current disparities in access [1,2]—confronts American healthcare with what Paul Ricoeur might term a "limit-situation," a crisis that exposes previously concealed contradictions and forces radical reexamination of foundational assumptions [3]. This is not simply a quantitative problem amenable to technical solutions—increasing residency slots, improving physician compensation, streamlining administrative processes—though such interventions remain necessary. Rather, the crisis reveals a profound mismatch between medicine's technical capabilities and its capacity to sustain practitioners in meaningful vocational engagement.

Consider the paradox: medical knowledge expands exponentially, technological capacities for diagnosis and intervention increase dramatically, yet physicians report unprecedented levels of burnout, moral injury, and disillusionment [4,5]. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, 40% of practicing physicians experienced burnout at least weekly; the pandemic intensified these trends while simultaneously demonstrating healthcare's fragility and physicians' irreplaceable value [2]. The workforce crisis

thus functions as what Heidegger termed a "clearing" (Lichtung)—a space where Being reveals itself through breakdown, where the usually invisible infrastructure of healthcare becomes suddenly, painfully visible in its inadequacy [6].

This article develops a theoretical framework for understanding how bureaucratic credentialing structures—exemplified by the American Board of Medical Specialties' Maintenance of Certification program—participate in a broader pattern of physician alienation rooted in medicine's dominant ontological commitments. I then articulate an alternative vision grounded in dialogical practice, drawing on theological models of creative engagement, phenomenological accounts of embodied healing, and actor-network theory's reconceptualization of medical authority. Finally, I consider policy implications that emerge when we understand healthcare reform as requiring not merely regulatory adjustment but ontological reconstruction.

Part I: The Bureaucratic Apparatus and Physician Alienation

Maintenance of Certification as Institutional Capture

The American Board of Medical Specialties, through its 24 member boards, has achieved what Max Weber would recognize as a textbook case of institutional rationalization: the transformation of physician competency assessment from periodic verification into

Citation: Ungar-Sargon J. Healthcare Reform and Physician Retention: Toward a Dialogical Reconstruction of Medical Practice. Arch Clin Trials. 2025;5(2):10.

perpetual bureaucratic surveillance [7]. By certifying 988,737 of 989,323 practicing physicians [8], ABMS has established what approaches natural monopoly—a market structure wherein barriers to entry (the extensive infrastructure required for credentialing) prevent meaningful competition.

Yet ABMS's power derives not primarily from this near-universal coverage but from what I term "credentialing capture"—the integration of certification status into every node of healthcare's regulatory network through ABMS Solutions LLC, a for-profit subsidiary controlling physician data accessed by hospitals, insurers, residency programs, and state medical boards [9,10]. This structure exemplifies what Michel Foucault analyzed as "governmentality"—power exercised not through overt coercion but through networks of assessment, documentation, and credentialing that shape subjectivity while claiming merely to measure objective competence [9].

Pierre Bourdieu's concept of "symbolic capital" illuminates how this system functions: board certification represents not technical competence alone but accumulated recognition within medicine's status hierarchy [11]. ABMS effectively monopolizes distribution of this symbolic capital, then leverages that monopoly to mandate participation in Maintenance of Certification—a proprietary educational product lacking demonstrated value for patient outcomes but generating substantial revenue [12,13]. Physicians face what game theorists term a "forced move": participate in MOC despite its questionable value, or forfeit symbolic capital essential for professional survival [10].

The Phenomenology of Administrative Burden

To understand MOC's impact on physician retention, we must attend to its lived phenomenology—how perpetual recertification requirements reshape temporal experience and vocational meaning. Maurice Merleau-Ponty argued that human temporality is fundamentally "project-oriented": we experience time as stretching toward future possibilities we aim to realize [14]. For physicians, medical practice ideally unfolds as a life-long project of developing clinical wisdom, deepening therapeutic relationships, and mastering one's craft.

MOC disrupts this temporal structure by imposing arbitrary deadlines disconnected from practice maturation. The requirement for 100 MOC points every five years, annual participation fees, and ten-year examinations [15] creates what Edmund Husserl termed "retentional drag"—past obligations that colonize the future, transforming clinical practice from vocation into credentialing maintenance [13]. Physicians spend 25–62 hours annually on recertification requirements [16], time that represents not merely lost productivity but a fundamental reorientation of professional identity away from patient care toward bureaucratic compliance.

Erickson et al.'s finding that physicians spend two hours on administrative tasks for every hour of patient care [17] reveals medicine's colonization by what Jürgen Habermas analyzed as "systemic rationality"—the invasion of lifeworld domains (healing relationships grounded in mutual understanding) by system imperatives (economic efficiency, regulatory compliance, risk management) [18]. This produces what I term "administrative alienation": physicians experience their own professional activity as serving purposes external to healing's intrinsic goods. The result is not mere inefficiency but moral injury—a profound dissonance between vocational commitment and institutional reality [19].

Evidence-Deficit and Epistemic Failure

The continued imposition of MOC despite absent evidence for clinical benefit reveals deeper epistemological problems. While initial board certification correlates with improved patient outcomes [20]. Studies find insufficient evidence that continuous recertification enhances competence beyond continuing medical education [10,21]. A 2023 American Society of Clinical Oncology survey found 82% of respondents considered MOC unnecessary, with 74% reporting it neither improved knowledge nor enhanced patient care [9].

This evidence vacuum should, within evidence-based medicine's own framework, preclude mandatory implementation. Yet MOC persists and expands, suggesting that what Bruno Latour calls "matters of fact" (empirical evidence) prove less powerful than "matters of concern" (institutional interests, established practices, professional prestige) [22]. ABMS member boards have structural incentives to maintain MOC regardless of evidence: it generates revenue, justifies organizational existence, and reinforces their gatekeeping authority [10].

The MOC apparatus thus exemplifies what Thomas Kuhn identified as "paradigm maintenance"—the preservation of established frameworks despite accumulating anomalies through auxiliary hypotheses, methodological adjustments, and institutional inertia [23]. ABMS continually modifies MOC requirements (eliminating the two-year point requirement in 2024 [24], introducing longitudinal assessment options) in response to physician criticism, but these reforms preserve the fundamental structure: perpetual recertification generating continuous revenue while lacking demonstrated patient benefit.

The Political Economy of Credentialing

Understanding ABMS requires examining its political economy. The organization reported \$8 million in revenue for ABMS Solutions alone in 2022, with \$28 million in assets [10]. Member boards charge annual fees (\$220 for first certificate, \$120 for additional certificates) plus examination fees (\$700 for ten-year exam option), creating substantial revenue streams [13]. Physicians holding multiple subspecialty certifications face cascading costs reaching \$3,400–\$4,100 over ten years for infectious disease specialists alone [17].

This financial structure creates what economists term "rent-seeking": extraction of value through control of market access rather than productive activity [25]. ABMS leverages its credentialing monopoly to mandate purchase of MOC—a classic tying arrangement wherein access to an essential service (credentialing verification) requires purchasing an additional product (continuing education) of questionable value [26]. Antitrust concerns arise when examining how ABMS collaborates with medical specialty societies, hospital associations, and insurers to entrench MOC requirements throughout healthcare's institutional landscape [9].

The broader implications extend to physician workforce sustainability. Research employing difference-in-differences methodology demonstrates that anti-MOC legislation increases physician supply among those aged 60+ by 1.4–1.9 percentage points biannually, with no effect on younger physicians [27]. This finding suggests MOC specifically drives experienced practitioners toward early retirement—precisely those whose accumulated clinical wisdom proves most valuable for complex case management and trainee education. As more than two-fifths of active physicians approach traditional retirement age within the next decade [6], any factor accelerating retirement decisions directly exacerbates workforce shortages.

Part II: Theoretical Foundations for Reconstructing Medical Practice

From Medical Orthodoxy to Dialogical Practice:

The crisis in physician retention and the failures of bureaucratic credentialing point toward the need for fundamental reconceptualization of medical practice itself. Here I draw on frameworks developed in my work on dialogical medical practice [28], which applies theological models of creative engagement to healthcare transformation.

Contemporary medicine operates within what I term "medical orthodoxy"—a rigid commitment to biomedical reductionism that privileges protocol compliance, algorithmic decision-making, and standardized metrics while marginalizing clinical wisdom, narrative understanding, and healing's relational dimensions [28]. This orthodoxy, while generating remarkable technical achievements, has progressively alienated both patients and practitioners from what Martin Buber termed "genuine meeting" (*echte Begegnung*)—encounters characterized by mutual presence, recognition, and transformation [29].

The theological tradition offers resources for thinking beyond orthodoxy without abandoning rigor or excellence. Orthodox Jewish approaches to engaging modernity—particularly those developed by figures like Rabbi Joseph B. Soloveitchik, Rabbi Abraham Isaac Kook, and the Lubavitcher Rebbe—demonstrate how traditions can maintain core commitments while creatively reinterpreting them to address contemporary challenges [30,31]. These approaches employ strategies I term: progressive revelation (understanding foundational texts as disclosing new meanings in conversation with present circumstances), editorial synthesis (integrating apparently contradictory sources into coherent frameworks), dialectical engagement (maintaining creative tension between competing values), and critical integration (selectively incorporating external insights while preserving essential identity) [28].

Applied to medicine, these strategies suggest that dialogical practice can honor both evidence-based medicine's insistence on empirical rigor and humanistic medicine's attention to meaning, relationship, and particularity. The physician in dialogical practice functions not as algorithmic executor of protocols but as what Hans-Georg Gadamer termed "phronetic practitioner"—one who brings general knowledge into creative dialogue with particular situations through practical wisdom [32]. This requires what Emmanuel Levinas described as "ethical transcendence": recognizing the patient as irreducibly Other, whose suffering calls forth response that cannot be reduced to technical intervention alone [33].

Dialogical practice reconceptualizes medical authority as emerging through relationship rather than institutional hierarchy. Drawing on Martin Buber's distinction between I-It (subject-object) and I-Thou (subject-subject) relations [29], I argue that healing relationships require moments of genuine encounter wherein both physician and patient are present as whole persons rather than role-occupants. This does not negate the asymmetry of expertise—the physician possesses technical knowledge the patient lacks—but situates that expertise within a collaborative relationship wherein patient knowledge (of lived experience, values, social context) actively shapes therapeutic decisions [28].

Actor-Network Theory and the Distributed Nature of Medical Authority

Bruno Latour's actor-network theory (ANT) provides

additional resources for reconceptualizing medical practice beyond hierarchical models [34]. ANT argues that agency emerges not from individual actors but through networks of human and non-human entities—physicians, patients, diagnostic technologies, pharmaceutical interventions, institutional protocols, insurance regulations—each of which shapes outcomes through their associations [35].

Applied to healthcare, ANT reveals that what appears as "physician authority" actually represents a distributed network effect: the physician's knowledge proves effective only in association with diagnostic instruments, pharmaceutical interventions, institutional support systems, and patient cooperation [36]. This perspective challenges both physician-centric models (wherein doctors unilaterally determine treatment) and protocol-centric models (wherein algorithms determine care) by recognizing healing as emerging through complex assemblages of heterogeneous actors [37].

In my work on transforming healthcare hierarchical systems [38], I develop ANT's implications for medical organization. Traditional hierarchies concentrate decision-making authority at presumed sites of maximal knowledge (physician specialists, institutional administrators), assuming authority flows downward through organizational structures. ANT suggests instead that effective healthcare requires recognizing how nurses, patients, family members, community health workers, and even non-human actors (architectural spaces, scheduling systems, electronic health records) actively shape outcomes [34].

This reconceptualization has direct implications for physician retention. When healthcare systems acknowledge the distributed nature of healing, individual physicians need not bear sole responsibility for complex decisions—a burden contributing substantially to burnout [4]. Instead, decision-making becomes genuinely collaborative, distributing moral weight across care teams while honoring each participant's unique knowledge contributions. This represents not reduction of physician authority but its realistic contextualization within actual care networks.

Phenomenology of Embodiment and the Science of Interoception

Recent neuroscientific research on interoception—the perception of internal bodily signals—converges with phenomenological philosophy to challenge Cartesian mind-body dualism still implicit in much medical practice [39,40]. This research demonstrates how physiological states influence cognition, emotion, and social perception in ways typically operating below conscious awareness. For physicians, interoceptive processes shape clinical reasoning, potentially contributing to diagnostic errors and healthcare disparities when unconscious biases become somatically encoded [41].

Thomas Fuchs's phenomenological account of embodiment distinguishes four dimensions: the body as physical structure (*Körper*), lived body (*Leib*), body image, and intercorporeality (embodied intersubjectivity) [42]. Medical practice typically focuses on *Körper*—the objective body visible to anatomical and physiological investigation—while neglecting *Leib*—the body as we live it from within, the pre-reflective background of all experience [43]. Yet illness fundamentally disrupts lived embodiment: pain intrudes into consciousness, familiar bodily capabilities become unreliable, the taken-for-granted background of embodied existence becomes thematic [44].

Kevin Aho extends this analysis to show how depression, chronic pain, and trauma represent disruptions in embodied being-in-the-world rather than isolated neurochemical dysfunctions [45]. Depression involves spatial-temporal disorientation (the future appears foreclosed, possibilities contract), corporeal alienation (one's own body becomes strange, heavy, resistant), and breakdown of intercorporeal attunement (inability to resonate with others' emotional states) [46]. Effective treatment must address these existential dimensions rather than targeting neurotransmitters alone.

In my work on embodied medicine and chemical reductionism [47], I argue that the collapse of the serotonin hypothesis of depression—the claim that depression results from serotonin deficiency, now thoroughly discredited [48]—validates phenomenological approaches recognizing illness as disruption in embodied being-in-the-world. This has direct implications for physician practice: healing requires attending to patients' lived experience, social contexts, and meaning-making processes rather than merely manipulating biomarkers [47].

For physician well-being, embodied practice involves cultivating interoceptive awareness—recognizing how one's own physiological states influence clinical judgment—and developing contemplative practices supporting therapeutic presence [49]. Research in contemplative neuroscience demonstrates that mindfulness meditation, compassion training, and related practices can reduce burnout while improving clinical outcomes [50]. These interventions work not through stress reduction alone but by reconnecting physicians with embodied presence, interrupting the dissociation that administrative burden and emotional overwhelm produce [51].

Sacred Epistemology and the Theological Dimensions of Healing

My work on reclaiming the sacred in medicine [52] argues that contemporary healthcare's crisis reflects not merely organizational dysfunction but spiritual impoverishment—the progressive evacuation of transcendent meaning from medical practice. Following William James's analysis in *"The Varieties of Religious Experience"* [53], I distinguish between "healthy-minded" religion (emphasizing optimism, growth, immanent divinity) and "sick soul" religion (recognizing suffering's depths, evil's reality, transcendence's necessity). Modern medicine functions as quintessential "healthy-minded" enterprise: it denies death's ultimacy, promises technical solutions to suffering, and locates healing entirely within immanent interventions [52].

Yet physicians daily confront what James termed "sick soul" experiences: irreversible suffering, medical failures, death's inevitability, evil's presence in human affairs [53]. When institutional structures deny these realities—demanding perpetual optimism, interpreting medical failure as individual inadequacy, offering no language for tragedy beyond "quality improvement"—physicians experience what I term "existential displacement": their lived experience contradicts official narratives, producing moral injury and meaning-crisis [5].

The Jewish mystical tradition—particularly Lurianic Kabbalah's concepts of *tzimtzum* (divine contraction) and *shevirat ha-kelim* (breaking of vessels) [54]—offers resources for thinking about healing that honor brokenness rather than denying it. *Tzimtzum* describes God's primordial self-contraction creating space for creation: divine presence manifests through absence, fullness through withdrawal [55]. Applied therapeutically, this suggests that healing relationships require the physician's capacity for "sacred withdrawal"—being

present without overwhelming, offering expertise without colonizing the patient's autonomy, maintaining professional boundaries while remaining personally engaged [56].

Shevirat ha-kelim describes how divine light shattered the vessels meant to contain it, scattering holy sparks throughout creation that require human action (*tikkun*) to restore [57]. This narrative validates experiences of brokenness as ontologically fundamental rather than mere deficits to overcome. For physicians encountering suffering exceeding their capacity to cure, this framework allows grief and limitation without despair: one can participate in healing (*tikkun*) even amid irreversible loss, finding meaning in presence and witness rather than cure alone [52].

In my work on theological dimensions of therapeutic presence [58], I explore how these concepts inform clinical practice. The physician practicing from sacred epistemology recognizes the clinical encounter as liminal space—threshold between mundane and holy, technical and mysterious. This does not require religious belief per se but involves recognizing dimensions of human experience irreducible to biomedical categories: suffering's meaning-saturated character, the way illness disrupts personal identity, mortality's role in constituting human finitude [59]. When physicians can acknowledge these dimensions, they reconnect with medicine's deeper purposes beyond technical intervention, potentially ameliorating the existential displacement contributing to burnout [52].

Revisioning Healthcare Spaces: Architecture and the Therapeutic Environment

The physical environments where healing occurs profoundly shape both clinical outcomes and professional satisfaction, yet healthcare design typically prioritizes efficiency, surveillance, and infection control over therapeutic relationship [60]. Drawing on Christopher Alexander's pattern language [61], evidence-based design principles [62], and phenomenological accounts of dwelling [63], my work on revisioning healthcare spaces [64] argues for environments supporting patient autonomy, contemplative presence, and genuine encounter.

Alexander's concept of "quality without a name" describes environments possessing wholeness, life, and harmony that cannot be reduced to explicit design principles but emerge through attention to lived experience and timeless patterns [61]. Healthcare spaces embodying this quality include: graduated thresholds allowing transition from public to private space, natural light and views of nature supporting circadian rhythms and psychological well-being, flexible configurations enabling both solitude and community, and materials and colors creating calm rather than institutional sterility [65].

Evidence-based design research demonstrates measurable benefits from such environments: reduced patient stress and pain, improved clinical outcomes, decreased staff turnover [62]. Yet most healthcare facilities remain designed primarily around operational efficiency—maximizing bed utilization, enabling surveillance, facilitating rapid patient throughput [66]. These priorities reflect the systemic rationality identified earlier: economic imperatives colonizing healing's lifeworld dimensions.

Phenomenologically, healthcare architecture shapes what Gaston Bachelard termed "intimate immensity"—the quality of spaces to evoke both protection and openness, both enclosure and possibility [67]. Healing spaces ideally provide sanctuary from the medical-industrial complex's relentless activity while

remaining open to relationship, nature, and transcendence. When physicians practice in environments designed for presence rather than throughput, when clinical encounters occur in spaces allowing adequate time and privacy for authentic dialogue, both patient experience and physician satisfaction improve [68].

The COVID-19 pandemic revealed healthcare infrastructure's fragility while demonstrating that healing occurs not in buildings alone but through caring relationships maintained even under extreme constraints [6]. Yet the physical environment matters profoundly: spaces designed to honor healing's sacred dimensions communicate institutional values, shaping how both patients and practitioners understand the medical encounter. Revisioning healthcare spaces thus represents not aesthetic preference but ethical commitment—material expression of healthcare's ultimate purposes [64].

Part III: Integrated Reform Strategies and Policy Implications

Dismantling Monopolistic Credentialing

The theoretical analysis developed above clarifies why MOC reform proves necessary but insufficient. The immediate policy priority involves breaking ABMS's credentialing monopoly through several mechanisms:

- **Congressional Antitrust Action-**: The Federal Trade Commission should investigate whether ABMS's exclusive control over physician credentialing data through ABMS Solutions LLC constitutes illegal tying arrangement—requiring purchase of MOC (continuing education) as condition for accessing credentialing services [69]. Precedent exists in *United States v. Microsoft* (2001), where courts found Microsoft illegally tied Internet Explorer to Windows operating system [70]. Similarly, ABMS leverages monopolistic control over one product (credentialing verification) to mandate purchase of another (MOC) lacking demonstrated value.
- **Protection of Alternative Certification-**: Federal and state legislation should explicitly prohibit employment or credentialing discrimination based on choice of certifying organization. The National Board of Physicians and Surgeons offers continuing education-based certification recognized by forward-thinking health systems; protecting physician choice introduces market competition while preserving certification's quality-assurance functions [10]. Six states have enacted anti-MOC legislation prohibiting its use in credentialing decisions [27], demonstrating feasibility of such protections.
- **Transparency Requirements-**: ABMS and member boards should face mandatory disclosure requirements regarding MOC program finances, evidence for effectiveness claims, and conflicts of interest. Current opacity allows ABMS to maintain MOC generates \$8 million annually through ABMS Solutions alone while resisting empirical accountability for patient outcome claims [10]. Regulatory requirements modeled on pharmaceutical industry disclosure rules could enhance transparency.

Expanding Graduate Medical Education While Transforming Pedagogy

The Resident Physician Shortage Reduction Act of 2025 proposes adding 14,000 Medicare-supported residency positions

over seven years [71]. While necessary, this expansion proves insufficient given projected shortages of 86,000 physicians by 2036 [1]. More ambitiously, Congress should:

- **Double Residency Expansion-**: Add 28,000 positions over seven years, prioritizing primary care, psychiatry, and surgical specialties experiencing greatest projected shortfalls [1]. Geographic distribution should favor rural areas and underserved communities where physician shortages prove most acute.
- **Integrate Dialogical Practice Training-**: Residency programs should incorporate curricula on therapeutic presence, embodied awareness, narrative medicine, and collaborative decision-making—competencies as essential as technical skill for sustainable practice. This requires faculty development, protected teaching time, and assessment methods valuing relational competence alongside clinical knowledge [72].
- **Support Rural Residency Programs-**: Codifying the Rural Residency Planning and Development Program provides crucial infrastructure for training physicians in underserved settings where they're more likely to remain [71]. Financial incentives (loan forgiveness, enhanced Medicare payments) should support rural residency development.

Addressing Administrative Burden Systemically

Physician retention requires dramatically reducing administrative burden beyond MOC alone:

- **Prior Authorization Reform-**: Insurance prior authorization consumes extraordinary physician time while delaying necessary care. Legislation should establish presumptive approval for treatments within evidence-based guidelines, limit authorization requirements to high-cost interventions (>\$10,000), impose financial penalties on insurers for unjustified denials, and require transparency regarding denial rates and rationales [73].
- **Electronic Health Record Optimization-**: Current EHR systems often increase documentation burden rather than reducing it, with physicians spending excessive time on data entry unrelated to clinical decision-making [74]. Federal investment should support EHR redesign prioritizing clinical utility over billing optimization, with interfaces designed around physician workflow rather than regulatory compliance.
- **Team-Based Care Expansion-**: Research demonstrates that team-based models employing nurses, pharmacists, social workers, and community health workers can maintain outcome quality while reducing physician burden [75]. Medicare payment reform should incentivize such models through bundled payments and shared savings arrangements rewarding population health over fee-for-service volume.

Financial Support for Physician Well-being

Physician retention requires dramatically reducing administrative burden beyond MOC alone:

Financial Support for Physician Well-being

The Resident Education Deferred Interest Act would allow interest-free deferment on student loans during residency, potentially saving resident physicians \$12,000 annually [76]. Additional measures should include:

- **Loan Forgiveness Expansion-:** The National Health Service Corps offers loan repayment for physicians serving underserved communities; expanding this program could address both debt burden and geographic maldistribution [77]. Forgiveness amounts should reflect current medical education costs (average \$200,000 debt upon graduation) rather than historical levels.
- **Institutional Investment in Well-being-:** Healthcare systems must allocate resources for comprehensive physician well-being programs addressing not only individual stress management but systemic factors driving burnout [4]. This includes adequate staffing ratios, protected time for professional development, accessible mental health services without licensure reporting requirements, and leadership committed to organizational culture transformation [78].

Reimagining Quality Measurement

Current quality metrics incentivize documentation over care delivery, measuring what proves easily quantifiable rather than what matters most for healing [79]. Reform should prioritize:

- **Patient-Reported Outcomes-:** Systematically collecting patients' assessments of symptom burden, functional status, and quality of life provides more meaningful quality indicators than process measures (documentation of specific elements) that may have minimal clinical relevance [80].
- **Relationship Continuity-:** Measuring whether patients see the same physician over time captures a dimension of care quality—relationship continuity enabling deeper understanding and trust—typically ignored in current metrics [81].
- **Holistic Healing Indicators-:** Developing metrics that capture healing's multidimensional nature (physical, psychological, social, spiritual) rather than isolated biomarkers could reorient practice toward whole-person care [82]. This requires moving beyond reduction of medicine to technical intervention toward recognition of healing's irreducibly relational character.

Structural Transformation Toward Dialogical Healthcare

Beyond specific policy interventions, sustainable reform requires cultural transformation within medical institutions:

- **Leadership Development-:** Healthcare executives and clinical leaders should receive training in dialogical leadership—approaches recognizing authority as emerging through relationship rather than hierarchy, and decision-making as collaborative rather than unilateral [38]. This represents not reduced authority but its reconceptualization consistent with ANT's insights about distributed agency.
- **Contemplative Practice Integration-:** Medical schools and residency programs should incorporate training in mindfulness, compassion meditation, and other contemplative practices supporting embodied presence and reducing burnout [72]. Evidence demonstrates such interventions improve both physician well-being and clinical outcomes when integrated systematically rather than offered as optional add-ons [83].
- **Architectural Redesign-:** As healthcare systems renovate facilities or construct new ones, they should employ evidence-based design principles prioritizing

therapeutic relationship over operational efficiency [64]. This includes creating spaces allowing adequate time for clinical encounters, enabling privacy for difficult conversations, and incorporating natural elements supporting psychological well-being.

- **Sacred Space Recognition-:** Healthcare institutions should acknowledge and honor healing's transcendent dimensions—not through imposing particular religious frameworks but by creating spaces and practices allowing physicians and patients to access sources of meaning beyond technical intervention [52]. This might include meditation rooms, memorial gardens, ritual acknowledgment of patient deaths, and chaplaincy services integrated into care teams rather than relegated to institutional margins.

Conclusion

The physician shortage crisis reflects far more than workforce planning failure. It signals fundamental contradictions within contemporary healthcare's ontological commitments—the unstated assumptions about what medicine is, what healing involves, and what role physicians play. The bureaucratic apparatus exemplified by MOC; the administrative burden documented by Erickson et al.; the burnout affecting two-fifths of practicing physicians; the accelerating retirements among experienced practitioners—all these phenomena point toward a single underlying reality: contemporary healthcare systematically undermines the conditions necessary for physicians to experience their practice as meaningful vocation rather than mere employment.

The theoretical frameworks developed in this article—dialogical practice, actor-network theory, embodied phenomenology, sacred epistemology, and therapeutic architecture—converge on a central insight: healing emerges through relationship rather than technical intervention alone. Medical authority derives not from institutional hierarchy but from networks of human and non-human actors. Competence involves not merely scientific knowledge but phronetic wisdom, embodied awareness, and capacity for genuine encounter. Healthcare spaces shape therapeutic relationship as profoundly as pharmaceutical interventions.

These insights have immediate practical implications. They explain why MOC's perpetual recertification burden drives physicians toward retirement: not merely the time cost but the existential displacement of reducing medical practice to bureaucratic compliance. They clarify why team-based care reduces burnout: not just workload distribution but recognition of healing's distributed, collaborative nature. They illuminate why contemplative practices improve physician well-being: not stress reduction alone but reconnection with embodied presence and vocational meaning.

Most fundamentally, this analysis reveals that sustainable healthcare reform requires what Heidegger termed "ontological reconstruction"—not merely adjusting existing structures but reimagining the fundamental nature of medical practice itself [84]. This does not mean abandoning evidence-based medicine, technical excellence, or scientific rigor. Rather, it involves recognizing these as necessary but insufficient for healing, requiring integration with dimensions contemporary medicine has systematically excluded: narrative understanding, embodied wisdom, relational presence, and acknowledgment of suffering's existential weight.

The policy interventions proposed above—breaking credentialing monopolies, expanding residency positions, reducing administrative burden, supporting physician well-being, transforming quality metrics—represent not merely technical adjustments but material expressions of different ontological commitments. They embody recognition that physicians are not interchangeable biological algorithms executing protocols but moral agents engaged in fundamentally relational practice requiring meaning, autonomy, and connection with medicine's deeper purposes.

Can such transformation actually occur? The forces maintaining current arrangements—institutional inertia, economic interests, established hierarchies, epistemological commitments to reductionist biomedicine—prove formidable. Yet crises create possibilities for change foreclosed under ordinary circumstances. The physician shortage, intensified by pandemic-accelerated burnout, makes clear that current structures prove unsustainable. Healthcare systems losing experienced physicians to early retirement, unable to recruit new practitioners, and facing mounting patient access challenges have pragmatic incentives for transformation even apart from ethical considerations.

Moreover, alternative models already exist: healthcare systems employing team-based care and protecting physician time for patient relationships; residency programs integrating contemplative practices and narrative medicine; architectural designs prioritizing therapeutic environment; and physicians finding sustainability through dialogical practice despite systemic obstacles. These exemplars demonstrate feasibility while providing templates for broader implementation.

The path forward requires courage to challenge entrenched institutional interests, wisdom to integrate technical excellence with humanistic values, and commitment to ensuring that every person has access to healthcare delivered by physicians who find meaning and sustainability in their practice. It demands recognizing that physician retention and patient care are inseparable: sustainable medical practice proves impossible when practitioners experience their work as meaningless bureaucratic compliance rather than meaningful healing vocation.

Ultimately, the physician shortage crisis invites—indeed, demands—that we ask fundamental questions about healthcare's purposes. Is medicine primarily technical intervention aiming at biomedical optimization? Or is it fundamentally relational practice wherein healing emerges through genuine encounter between healer and patient? Are physicians biological algorithms executing evidence-based protocols? Or are they moral agents bringing technical knowledge into creative dialogue with particular human situations? Does quality mean standardized protocol compliance? Or does it involve honoring each patient's unique lifeworld while deploying medical science in service of their flourishing?

How we answer these questions—implicitly through institutional design or explicitly through policy deliberation—will determine not merely whether we train sufficient physicians to meet projected demand but whether medical practice remains a vocation capable of attracting and sustaining practitioners committed to healing's deepest meanings. The data are unequivocal: current structures prove unsustainable, driving practitioners toward retirement while deterring potential physicians from entering the field. The theoretical analysis developed here suggests that sustainable reform requires

ontological reconstruction—reimagining medical practice from its foundations. Whether American healthcare proves capable of such transformation remains uncertain. That the physician shortage crisis demands it seems beyond dispute.

References

1. Association of American Medical Colleges. New AAMC report shows continuing projected physician shortage InternetInternetInternet. Washington, DC: AAMC; 2023 Jun cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.aamc.org/news/press-releases/new-aamc-report-shows-continuing-projected-physician-shortage>
2. Association of American Medical Colleges. Addressing the physician workforce shortage InternetInternetInternet. Washington, DC: AAMC; 2024 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.aamc.org/advocacy-policy/addressing-physician-workforce-shortage>
3. Ricoeur P. The Symbolism of Evil. Buchanan E, translator. Boston: Beacon Press; 1967.
4. National Academy of Medicine. Taking Action Against Clinician Burnout: A Systems Approach to Professional Well-Being. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2019.
5. Dean W, Talbot S, Dean A. Reframing clinician distress: moral injury not burnout. *Fed Pract*. 2019;36(9):400-402.
6. Association of American Medical Colleges. AAMC report reinforces mounting physician shortage InternetInternetInternet. Washington, DC: AAMC; 2021 Jun cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.aamc.org/news/press-releases/aamc-report-reinforces-mounting-physician-shortage>
7. Heidegger M. Being and Time. Macquarrie J, Robinson E, translators. New York: Harper & Row; 1962.
8. Weber M. Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretive Sociology. Roth G, Wittich C, editors. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1978.
9. Schatten K. Best of 2024: Prescribing insanity: monopoly in continuous board certification drives costs up and physicians out. *Med Econ*. 2024 Dec 19.
10. Schatten K. Monopoly in continuous board certification drives physicians out of medicine. *Med Econ*. 2024 Aug 23.
11. Foucault M. Governmentality. In: Burchell G, Gordon C, Miller P, eds. *The Foucault Effect: Studies in Governmentality*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991:87-104.
12. Bourdieu P. The forms of capital. In: Richardson JG, ed. *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: Greenwood Press; 1986:241-258.
13. Russell LB. Cardiologists' anger flares anew over ABIM maintenance of certification. *TCTMD*. 2025 Jul 28.
14. Schelling TC. *The Strategy of Conflict*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1960.
15. Merleau-Ponty M. *Phenomenology of Perception*. Smith C, translator. London: Routledge; 1962.
16. Husserl E. *On the Phenomenology of the Consciousness of Internal Time (1893-1917)*. Brough JB, translator. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1991.
17. Mulanovich V. "That criticism was justified": ABIM MOC program adapts, faces new challenges and success. *Healio*. 2024 Sep 19.
18. Erickson SM, Rockwern B, Koltov M, McLean RM. Putting patients first by reducing administrative tasks in health care. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;166(9):659-661.
19. Habermas J. *The Theory of Communicative Action, Volume 2: Lifeworld and System: A Critique of Functionalist Reason*. McCarthy T, translator. Boston: Beacon Press; 1987.

20. Holmboe ES, Wang Y, Meehan TP, et al. Association between maintenance of certification examination scores and quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168(13):1396-1403.
21. Phillips RL Jr, Peterson LE, Fang B, Kivlahan C. The value of board recertification among physicians. *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2023;36(2):231-240.
22. Latour B. Why has critique run out of steam? From matters of fact to matters of concern. *Crit Inq.* 2004;30(2):225-248.
23. Kuhn TS. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions.* 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1996.
24. Gilbert L. ABIM eliminates two-year points requirement for MOC. *Med Econ.* 2024 Oct 29.
25. Krueger AO. The political economy of the rent-seeking society. *Am Econ Rev.* 1974;64(3):291-303.
26. Whinston MD. Tying, foreclosure, and exclusion. *Am Econ Rev.* 1990;80(4):837-859.
27. Agarwal K, Bhardwaj R, Ray A. Anti-maintenance of certification laws and older physician supply. *Econ Lett.* 2024;244:112019.
28. Ungar-Sargon J. From medical orthodoxy to dialogical practice: applying theological models of creative engagement to contemporary healthcare. *Am J Med Clin Res Rev.* 2025 Jun;4(6):1-11.
29. Buber M. *I and Thou.* Smith RG, translator. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons; 1958.
30. Soloveitchik JB. *Halakhic Man.* Peli L, translator. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society; 1983.
31. Schneerson MM. *Torah Studies.* Wineberg Y, editor. London: Kehot Publication Society; 1986.
32. Gadamer HG. *Truth and Method.* 2nd rev ed. Weinsheimer J, Marshall DG, translators. New York: Continuum; 2004.
33. Levinas E. *Totality and Infinity: An Essay on Exteriority.* Lingis A, translator. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1969.
34. Latour B. *Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory.* Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005.
35. Latour B. *Science in Action: How to Follow Scientists and Engineers through Society.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1987.
36. Mol A. *The Body Multiple: Ontology in Medical Practice.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 2002.
37. Law J, Mol A. *Complexities: Social Studies of Knowledge Practices.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 2002.
38. Ungar-Sargon J. Transforming healthcare hierarchical systems InternetInternetInternet. *Essays on Healing.* 2025 Jun 10 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.jyungar.com/healing-essays>
39. Craig AD. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2002;3(8):655-666.
40. Critchley HD, Garfinkel SN. Interoception and emotion. *Curr Opin Psychol.* 2017;17:7-14.
41. Ungar-Sargon J. The science of interoception and unconscious bias in healthcare: a call for embodied clinical practice. *Am J Med Clin Res Rev.* 2025;4(7):1-14.
42. Fuchs T. Corporealized and disembodied minds: a phenomenological view of the body in melancholia and schizophrenia. *Philos Psychiatry Psychol.* 2005;12(2):95-107.
43. Fuchs T. The phenomenology of body memory. In: Koch S, Fuchs T, Summa M, Müller C, eds. *Body Memory, Metaphor and Movement.* Amsterdam: John Benjamins; 2012:9-22.
44. Toombs SK. *The Meaning of Illness: A Phenomenological Account of the Different Perspectives of Physician and Patient.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1992.
45. Aho K. *Existential Medicine: Essays on Health and Illness.* London: Rowman & Littlefield; 2018.
46. Ratcliffe M. *Experiences of Depression: A Study in Phenomenology.* Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.
47. Ungar-Sargon J. Beyond chemical reductionism: how new depression research supports embodied medicine. *Am J Med Clin Res Rev.* 2025;4(8):1-17.
48. Moncrieff J, Cooper RE, Stockmann T, et al. The serotonin theory of depression: a systematic umbrella review of the evidence. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2023;28(8):3243-3256.
49. Ungar-Sargon J. Primordial silence and therapeutic presence InternetInternetInternet. *Essays on Healing.* 2025 Jun 4 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.jyungar.com/healing-essays>
50. Khoury B, Sharma M, Rush SE, Fournier C. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for healthy individuals: a meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res.* 2015;78(6):519-528.
51. Epstein RM. *Attending: Medicine, Mindfulness, and Humanity.* New York: Scribner; 2017.
52. Ungar-Sargon J. Reclaiming the sacred in medicine: toward an integration of ancient wisdom and modern science in healthcare. *J Relig Theol.* 2025;7(3):75-86.
53. James W. *The Varieties of Religious Experience: A Study in Human Nature.* New York: Longmans, Green, and Co.; 1902.
54. Scholem G. *Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism.* New York: Schocken Books; 1995.
55. Magid S. *From Metaphysics to Midrash: Myth, History, and the Interpretation of Scripture in Lurianic Kabbala.* Bloomington: Indiana University Press; 2008.
56. Ungar-Sargon J. The hidden light in the therapeutic space: from ancient mystical wisdom to contemporary therapeutic transformation. *Am J Med Clin Res Rev.* 2025;4(7):1-17.
57. Fine L. *Physician of the Soul, Healer of the Cosmos: Isaac Luria and His Kabbalistic Fellowship.* Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2003.
58. Ungar-Sargon J. Sacred spaces, clinical encounters: integrating theological and medical perspectives. *J Behav Health.* 2025;14(3):1-7.
59. Kleinman A. *The Illness Narratives: Suffering, Healing, and the Human Condition.* New York: Basic Books; 1988.
60. Ulrich RS. View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. *Science.* 1984;224(4647):420-421.
61. Alexander C. *The Timeless Way of Building.* New York: Oxford University Press; 1979.
62. Ulrich RS, Zimring C, Zhu X, et al. A review of the research literature on evidence-based healthcare design. *HERD.* 2008;1(3):61-125.
63. Norberg-Schulz C. *Genius Loci: Towards a Phenomenology of Architecture.* New York: Rizzoli; 1980.
64. Ungar-Sargon J. Revisioning healthcare spaces: lessons from spiritual community in contemporary medical practice. *Am J Med Clin Res Rev.* 2025 Jun;4(6):1-15.
65. Ungar-Sargon J. Patient autonomy and co-creation: evidence-based approaches to designing healing environments. *J Psychol Neurosci.* 2025;7(2):1-9.
66. Verderber S, Fine DJ. *Healthcare Architecture in an Era of Radical Transformation.* New Haven: Yale University Press; 2000.
67. Bachelard G. *The Poetics of Space.* Jolas M, translator. Boston: Beacon Press; 1994.
68. Ungar-Sargon J. A healing space for caregiver and patient: a novel therapeutic clinic model integrating holistic healing

- principles. Med Clin Case Rep. 2025;5(1):1-11.
69. Hovenkamp H. Federal Antitrust Policy: The Law of Competition and Its Practice. 5th ed. St. Paul, MN: West Academic Publishing; 2015.
70. United States v. Microsoft Corp., 253 F.3d 34 (DC Cir 2001).
71. Association of American Medical Colleges. AAMC applauds introduction of bill to reduce physician shortage InternetInternetInternet. Washington, DC: AAMC; 2025 Jun 10 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.aamc.org/news/press-releases/aamc-applauds-introduction-bill-reduce-physician-shortage-0>
72. Krasner MS, Epstein RM, Beckman H, et al. Association of an educational program in mindful communication with burnout, empathy, and attitudes among primary care physicians. JAMA. 2009;302(12):1284-1293.
73. American Medical Association. Prior authorization reform InternetInternetInternet. Chicago: AMA; 2024 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/prior-authorization>
74. Arndt BG, Beasley JW, Watkinson MD, et al. Tethered to the EHR: primary care physician workload assessment using EHR event log data and time-motion observations. Ann Fam Med. 2017;15(5):419-426.
75. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C. From triple to quadruple aim: care of the patient requires care of the provider. Ann Fam Med. 2014;12(6):573-576.
76. Harmon GE. Doctor shortages are here—and they'll get worse if we don't act fast InternetInternetInternet. Chicago: American Medical Association; 2022 Apr 13 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/sustainability/doctor-shortages-are-here-and-they-ll-get-worse-if-we-don-t-act>
77. Health Resources and Services Administration. National Health Service Corps InternetInternetInternet. Rockville, MD: HRSA; 2024 cited2025Oct19cited 2025 Oct 19cited2025Oct19. Available from: <https://nhsc.hrsa.gov/>
78. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. Executive leadership and physician well-being: nine organizational strategies to promote engagement and reduce burnout. Mayo Clin Proc. 2017;92(1):129-146.
79. Casalino LP, Gans D, Weber R, et al. US physician practices spend more than \$15.4 billion annually to report quality measures. Health Aff (Millwood). 2016;35(3):401-406.
80. Porter ME. What is value in health care? N Engl J Med. 2010;363(26):2477-2481.
81. Cabana MD, Jee SH. Does continuity of care improve patient outcomes? J Fam Pract. 2004;53(12):974-980.
82. Ungar-Sargon J. A framework for transformative healthcare practice. Am J Med Clin Res Rev. 2025 Jul;4(7):1-13.
83. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Erwin PJ, Shanafelt TD. Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2016;388(10057):2272-2281